

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,707	11/24/2003	Henry Daniell	1202-CON2-DIV-00	5370	
29847	7590 12/29/	05	EXAM	EXAMINER	
BEUSSE B	ROWNLEE WOL	FOX, DAVID T			
390 N. ORAI SUITE 2500	NGE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO,	FL 32801		1638		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W
·,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,707	DANIELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	David T. Fox	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by saying reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMM! FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becom	UNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on section is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final.	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21-38 and 40 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 40 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example and the subject to the example and the	ndrawn from consideration and/or election requirement miner. accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in aborrection is required if the drawing of th	d to by the Examiner. eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ie Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action of form? 10-1	J2.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received, ments have been received priority documents have b ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Statement No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper B/08) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/720,707

Art Unit: 1638

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant's amendments to the specification of 06 September 2005, and amendments to the claims of 06 October 2005, have overcome all objections and rejections not repeated below.

Pages 15 and 16 of the specification remain objected to in their recitation of "dic" and "were", respectively, as stated on page 2 of the last Office action. These errors were not corrected by the amendment of 06 September 2005.

Claims 21-38 remain rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 19-22, 25-28, 33-34 and 37 of U.S. Patent No. 5,932,479. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons presented on pages 3-4 of the last Office action.

Claims 21-38 remain rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,680,426. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons presented on page 4 of the last Office action.

Applicant's intent, on page 10 of the Response of 06 September 2005, to file a Terminal Disclaimer is noted. The double patenting rejections will be maintained until receipt of a properly executed Terminal Disclaimer.

The claims remain free of the prior art, as stated on page 11 of the last Office action.

Art Unit: 1638

No claim is allowed.

Claim 40 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-0795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:30AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached on 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/720,707

Art Unit: 1638

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 16, 2005

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 180 (638)

Page 4